

Public

Code Administrator Consultation Response Proforma

CMP470: Introducing an Oversubscribed Technologies

Commitment Fee

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to cusc.team@neso.energy by **5pm** on **30 June 2026**.

Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration.

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation, please contact cusc.team@neso.energy

Respondent details	Please enter your details	
Respondent name:	Emily Fare	
Company name:	SSEN-T	
Email address:	Emily.fare@sse.com	
Phone number:	Click or tap here to enter text.	
Which best describes your organisation?	<input type="checkbox"/> Consumer body <input type="checkbox"/> Demand <input type="checkbox"/> Distribution Network Operator <input type="checkbox"/> Generator <input type="checkbox"/> Industry body <input type="checkbox"/> Interconnector	<input type="checkbox"/> Storage <input type="checkbox"/> Supplier <input type="checkbox"/> System Operator <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner <input type="checkbox"/> Virtual Lead Party <input type="checkbox"/> Other

Public

I wish my response to be:

(Please mark the relevant box)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non-Confidential (<i>this will be shared with industry and the Panel for further consideration</i>)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Confidential (<i>this will be disclosed to the Authority in full but, unless specified, will not be shared with the Panel or the industry for further consideration</i>)

For reference the Applicable CUSC (non-charging) Objectives are:

- i. *The efficient discharge by the Licensee of the obligations imposed on it by the Act and by this licence*;*
- ii. *Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;*
- iii. *Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency **; and*
- iv. *Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements.*

* See Electricity System Operator Licence

**The Electricity Regulation referred to in objective (iii) is Regulation (EU) 2019/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on the internal market for electricity (recast) as it has effect immediately before IP completion day as read with the modifications set out in the SI 2020/1006.

For reference, (for consultation question 5) the Electricity Balancing Regulation (EBR) Article 3 Objectives and regulatory aspects are:

Public

- a) *fostering effective competition, non-discrimination and transparency in balancing markets;*
- b) *enhancing efficiency of balancing as well as efficiency of national balancing markets;*
- c) *integrating balancing markets and promoting the possibilities for exchanges of balancing services while contributing to operational security;*
- d) *contributing to the efficient long-term operation and development of the electricity transmission system and electricity sector while facilitating the efficient and consistent functioning of day-ahead, intraday and balancing markets;*
- e) *ensuring that the procurement of balancing services is fair, objective, transparent and market-based, avoids undue barriers to entry for new entrants, fosters the liquidity of balancing markets while preventing undue market distortions;*
- f) *facilitating the participation of demand response including aggregation facilities and energy storage while ensuring they compete with other balancing services at a level playing field and, where necessary, act independently when serving a single demand facility;*
- g) *facilitating the participation of renewable energy sources and supporting the achievement of any target specified in an enactment for the share of energy from renewable sources.*

What is the EBR?

The Electricity Balancing Regulation (EBR) is a European Network Code introduced by the Third Energy Package European legislation in late 2017.

The EBR regulation lays down the rules for the integration of balancing markets in Europe, with the objectives of enhancing Europe's security of supply. The EBR

Public

aims to do this through harmonisation of electricity balancing rules and facilitating the exchange of balancing resources between European Transmission System Operators (TSOs). Article 18 of the EBR states that TSOs such as the NESO should have terms and conditions developed for balancing services, which are submitted and approved by Ofgem.

Public

Please express your views in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.

Standard Code Administrator Consultation questions			
1	Please provide your assessment for the proposed solutions against the Applicable Objectives against the current baseline.	Mark the Objectives which you believe the proposed solutions better facilitates than the current baseline:	
		Original	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> i <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ii <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> iii <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> iv <input type="checkbox"/> None
		WACM1	<input type="checkbox"/> i <input type="checkbox"/> ii <input type="checkbox"/> iii <input type="checkbox"/> iv <input type="checkbox"/> None
		WACM2	<input type="checkbox"/> i <input type="checkbox"/> ii <input type="checkbox"/> iii <input type="checkbox"/> iv <input type="checkbox"/> None
		WACM3	<input type="checkbox"/> i <input type="checkbox"/> ii <input type="checkbox"/> iii <input type="checkbox"/> iv <input type="checkbox"/> None
		WACM4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> i <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ii <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> iii <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> iv <input type="checkbox"/> None
		WACM5	<input type="checkbox"/> i <input type="checkbox"/> ii <input type="checkbox"/> iii <input type="checkbox"/> iv <input type="checkbox"/> None
		WACM6	<input type="checkbox"/> i <input type="checkbox"/> ii <input type="checkbox"/> iii <input type="checkbox"/> iv <input type="checkbox"/> None
		<p>We are generally supportive of the proposed solution but believe it could go further in reducing oversubscribed technologies in the queue. Oversubscription does not support the delivery of Clean Power and achievement of CP30 targets. We believe that the proposed 50% oversubscription is too high a threshold to trigger this mechanism. We would strongly encourage further work to reconsider the threshold, to ensure that the mechanism is as effective as possible.</p>	

Public

2	Do you have a preferred proposed solution?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> WACM1 <input type="checkbox"/> WACM2 <input type="checkbox"/> WACM3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WACM4 <input type="checkbox"/> WACM5 <input type="checkbox"/> WACM6 <input type="checkbox"/> Baseline <input type="checkbox"/> No preference
		<p>We support the workgroup consensus that the original proposal and WACM4 best facilitate the objectives. We agree that WACM4 improves on the original proposal by removing exemptions and the potential for unintended outcomes. We believe WACM4 provides a clear and consistent application of the Oversubscribed Technologies Commitment Fee and strengthens the effectiveness of the mechanism in addressing oversubscription. By reducing uncertainty associated with volumes that exceed CP30 requirements, the proposal will support more efficient network planning and investment decisions, allowing TOs to focus on delivering the infrastructure required to connect strategically</p>

Public

		aligned projects and achieve Clean Power 2030 objectives.
3	Do you support the proposed implementation approach?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
		<p>We support the proposed implementation approach, particularly the intent to align the introduction of the Oversubscribed Technologies Commitment Fee with Gate 2 offers. We would like to stress the importance of securing an Authority decision as soon as possible, ahead of Gate 2 Phase 1 offer acceptance deadlines, with these offers now being issued by NESO for Phase 1 projects. Early visibility, clarity and transparency of this Fee is important for developers, enabling informed decisions.</p>
4	Do you have any other comments?	Click or tap here to enter text.
5	Do you agree with the Workgroup's assessment that the modification <u>does not</u> impact the Electricity Balancing Regulation (EBR) Article 18 terms and	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
		Click or tap here to enter text.

Public

	conditions held within the Code?	
--	----------------------------------	--